

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

Anthony Carpenito

v.

Civil No. 09-cv-00059-JL

N.H. Department of  
Corrections, Commissioner, et al.

**ORDER AFTER PRELIMINARY  
PRETRIAL CONFERENCE**

The Preliminary Pretrial Conference was held in chambers on  
**September 24, 2009.**

The Discovery Plan (document no. 25) is approved as submitted, with the following changes:

- “DiBenedetto” disclosure by defendant - **May 1, 2010**
- Trial - **December 2010**

**Summary Judgment.** The parties and counsel are advised that compliance with Rule 56(e) and Local Rule 7.2(b), regarding evidentiary support for factual assertions, and specification and delineation of material issues of disputed fact, will be required.

**Discovery disputes.** Discovery disputes will be handled by the undersigned judge, as opposed to the Magistrate Judge, in the

normal course. No motion to compel is necessary. The party or counsel seeking discovery-related relief should confer with adverse counsel to choose mutually available dates, and then contact the Deputy Clerk to schedule a conference call with the court. The court will inform counsel and parties what written materials, if any, should be submitted in advance of the conference call.

Customary motions to compel discovery, while disfavored by the undersigned judge, are nonetheless permissible. If counsel prefer traditional discovery litigation to the conference call procedure set forth above, any such motion to compel should expressly request, in the title of the motion, a referral to the United States Magistrate Judge. Such referral requests will normally be granted.

**SO ORDERED.**



\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph N. Laplante  
United States District Judge

Dated: September 24, 2009

cc: Michael J. Sheehan, Esq.  
James William Kennedy, Esq.  
Danielle Leah Pacik, Esq.